Quantitative evaluation using the plaque/muscle ratio index panels predicts plaque type and risk of embolism in patients undergoing carotid artery stenting
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ABSTRACT
Objective: We sought to use a magnetic resonance (MR) plaque imaging technique to establish the plaque/muscle ratio (PMR) index panel to identify vulnerable plaques in subjects undergoing carotid artery stenting (CAS).

Methods: Between 2008 and 2010 we treated 69 patients (71 lesions) by elective carotid endarterectomy (CEA) and 35 patients (36 lesions) by CAS. All patients underwent preoperative MR plaque imaging and the ratio of plaque signal intensity to the sternocleidomastoid muscle was calculated. In the CEA group, we categorized the histopathological findings made on the surgical specimens. In the CAS group, we assessed the post-procedure diffusion-weighted images.

Results: PMR index panels for each plaque type were created using the cut-off value obtained from the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of each plaque type. The probability of each of the four plaque types on each panel was assessed by Fisher’s exact test. Multinomial logistic regression analysis of the DWI-positive findings determined significant probability (p = 0.042).

Conclusion: The results of this study suggest quantitative evaluation using the PMR index panel has a probability to predict both the plaque type and risk of embolism in patients being considered for treatment with CAS.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Atherosclerotic carotid plaques are a major cause of cerebral ischemia [1]. The superiority of carotid endarterectomy (CEA) over pharmaceutical treatments has been confirmed [2,3]. High-risk patients considered for CEA can instead be treated by carotid artery stenting (CAS), a minimally invasive treatment modality [4]. However, CAS puts patients with morphologically vulnerable plaques at a higher risk for procedural embolic complications than CEA [5,6].

As the echoluclency on carotid ultrasonography (US) is an indicator of soft plaques (lipid or hemorrhagic) and the incidence of ischemic complications during CAS [7,8,9], the accurate preoperative diagnosis of soft plaques is of clinical importance. However, the acquisition of full carotid US images is difficult in patients with a short neck, high carotid bifurcation, or highly calcified plaques [10].

MR plaque imaging studies to characterize the morphology of plaques showed that high-resolution MR imaging was useful [5,11–18]. While MR imaging is a simple, objective, and useful method to diagnose carotid atherosclerosis, few studies have closely assessed the MR imaging signals of plaque components by comparing the results with in vivo CEA specimens [13,19–22].

By comparing MR plaque images with the histopathological findings made on CEA specimens we attempted to establish a plaque/muscle ratio (PMR) index panel for high-risk vulnerable plaques. We also evaluated the validity of the PMR index panels for predicting the risk of ischemic complications in patients undergoing CAS.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Subjects
We analyzed 69 patients (71 lesions) who underwent elective CEA and 35 patients (36 lesions) treated by CAS at our institute between 2008 and 2010. Their age, MRI and histopathological data, degree of stenosis on digital subtraction angiographs, and their
history of stroke, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia were obtained from medical records. The institutional review board of Fukuoka University Hospital approved our study.

2.2. Indications for CEA and CAS

Symptomatic patients were defined as those who had experienced amaurosis fugax, a transient ischemic attack, or a stroke in the territory of the ipsilateral carotid artery within the past 6 months (48 of 71 CEA lesions, 18 of 36 CAS lesions, respectively). The severity of carotid artery stenosis was evaluated by digital subtraction angiography using the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators criteria [3]. The rate of stenosis was shown to be 76.0 ± 16.2% (average ± SD) in the CEA group and 76.0 ± 10.5% in the CAS group. The criteria for CEA and CAS treatment included greater than 70% symptomatic and asymptomatic carotid stenosis or greater than 50% symptomatic stenosis with recurrent infarcts on the ipsilateral hemisphere and resistant to maximal medical treatment. With respect to the selection of CEA and CAS, we selected CEA as the first line of treatment for high-risk patients, including those with radiation-induced lesions, restenosis after a prior CEA, and those in whom it was difficult to introduce general anesthesia. We also made an effort not to perform CAS in PMR lesions greater than 1.5 on the T1-PMR (described in greater detail below).

2.3. MRI protocol

All patients were referred for MR plaque imaging within 2 weeks of CEA or CAS. Scans were performed on a 1.5T scanner (ACHIEVA; Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands) using a SENSE head/neck coil with a quadrature head part with two neck elements. A chemical shift selective fat suppression of spectral presaturation with inversion recovery (SPIR) was applied to all carotid black blood sequences. We obtained short-axis 2D-turbo spin echo (TSE) T1- and T2-weighted images (WI) with the following parameters: TR/TE/TI/echo train length/number of excitations = 600–1000/7/263–399/7/2, matrix size of 320 × 320, a reconstruction matrix of 512 × 512, with a 200 mm-field of view. Four transaxial slices with an interslice gap of 3 mm were obtained. The scan time ranged from 181 to 244 s (T1-WI), and from 193 to 244 s (T2-WI). Whole-brain single-shot, spin-echo, echo-planar, diffusion-weighted images (DWI) were obtained either on a Philips Achieva 1.5T or an Intera 1.0T scanner.

2.4. Image review

The plaque signal intensity (SI) was made with reference to the immediately adjacent sternocleidomastoid (SCM) muscle. The values were calculated as the signal ratio of the plaque to the SCM and defined as the plaque/muscle ratio (PMR). We used the slice exhibiting the most severe degree of stenosis to record the plaque SI on the short-axis 2D-TSE T1- and T2-WI. In plaques with a heterogeneous intensity, we used the highest intensity component (Fig. 1).

2.5. Histology

Specimens from the CEA group were fixed with 10% buffered formalin, cut into 2 mm serial transverse slices, decalcified, and embedded in paraffin. Microscopic sections (3 μm) were cut from the paraffin-embedded tissue samples and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Masson trichrome, and elastic van Giesen stains. The slides were independently reviewed by two pathologists (Y.H. and N.S.) blinded to the imaging results and categorized according to the histopathological classification of Stary et al. [23].

2.6. Histopathological classification

Type I and II lesions are considered to be early lesions, while Types III, IV, and V are advanced lesions. Type III is characterized by pools of extracellular lipid (pre-atheroma), Type IV lesions contain a core of extracellular lipids (atheroma), and Type V lesions exhibit fibrous connective tissue layers plus one or more lipid cores that may be labeled as fibroatheroma. Type VI lesions are characterized by predominant calcification of a fibrolipid lesion, while Type Vc lesions are characterized by fibrous tissue layers, with minimal or absent lipid and calcium (no core). Type VI lesions are considered to be complicated, exhibiting a disrupted lesion surface, hematoma or hemorrhage, or thrombotic deposits. Type Va (fibroatheroma) plaques include both atheroma-predominant and connective tissue-predominant lesions, thus suggesting that they may differ with respect to plaque instability. Therefore, we separated type Va lesions into two sub-types, Va1, atheroma-predominant (atheroma > 50%) and Va2, connective tissue-predominant plaques (Fig. 1).

2.7. Statistical analysis

The variables in the two distribution groups were compared using the Student’s t-test and the Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. The patients’ age, T1- and T2-PMR, and the % of stenosis were handled as continuous variables. The patients’ gender and past history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, or stroke, were handled as categorical variables. PMR index panels for each plaque type were created using the cut-off value obtained with the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for each.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>CEA</th>
<th>CAS</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lesions</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>71.5 (6.8)</td>
<td>70.0 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>M:56; F:12</td>
<td>M:32; F:4</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 PMR</td>
<td>1.36 (0.33)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 PMR</td>
<td>2.54 (1.02)</td>
<td>2.79 (0.99)</td>
<td>0.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% stenosis (NASCET)</td>
<td>76.7 (16.2)</td>
<td>76.6 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history of DM</td>
<td>28 (30.4%)</td>
<td>18 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history of HT</td>
<td>43 (60.6%)</td>
<td>27 (75.0%)</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic lesion</td>
<td>46 (64.8%)</td>
<td>27 (75.0%)</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plaque type</th>
<th>T1PMR</th>
<th>T2 PMR</th>
<th>T1 PMR</th>
<th>T2 PMR</th>
<th>T1 PMR</th>
<th>T2 PMR</th>
<th>T1 PMR</th>
<th>T2 PMR</th>
<th>T1 PMR</th>
<th>T2 PMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>0.686–0.943</td>
<td>0.456–0.802</td>
<td>0.762–0.944</td>
<td>0.281–0.625</td>
<td>0.853–1.000</td>
<td>0.838–0.990</td>
<td>0.522–0.838</td>
<td>0.475–0.757</td>
<td>0.857–0.990</td>
<td>0.513–0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the study population

The two groups did not differ significantly, as shown in Table 1.

3.2. Histological findings

Of the 71 CEA specimens, 8 (11.3%) were characterized as type Va1, 6 (8.5%) as type Va2, 8 (11.3%) as type Vb, and 49 (69%) as type VI lesions (Fig. 1 and Table 1). There were no type Vc lesions.

3.3. T1- and T2-PMR index panels for each plaque type

Type Va1 (atheroma-predominant) lesions had a mean T1- and T2-PMR of 1.63 (0.18) and 2.87 (0.70), respectively. The cut-off value from the ROC curve for type Va1 lesions exceeded 1.51 for the T1-PMR, with a sensitivity of 75.0% and a specificity of 73.0% (p = 0.004).

3.4. Post-CAS positive diffusion-weighted images (DWI)

The distribution of the T1- and T2-PMR in the CAS group is shown on the PMR index panels (Fig. 2b). Following the completion of all the procedures, with the aid of embolic protection systems, all patients treated by CAS underwent DWI on days 1 through 7. Of the...
36 total lesions observed at the ipsilateral ICA territory of the cerebral hemisphere, seven (19.4%) were classified as positive DWI (red squares in Fig. 2b), while two of the 35 patients suffered transient hemiplegia. Debris trapped by the embolic protection system at the end of the procedure was observed in four cases. Although there was no correlation between presence of the debris and the T1-PMR, the T1-PMR in four cases was higher (mean 1.45 ± 0.40), while three cases were presented with positive DWI. The cut-off value from the ROC curve of the DWI-positive lesions exceeded 1.51 in the T1-PMR, with a sensitivity of 71.4% and a specificity of 82.8% (p = 0.024, Table 4). The T2-PMR was not significant by ROC analysis. These values were the same for the type VaI lesions. Multinomial logistic regression analysis determined DWI-positive complications were likely to occur from panels D through A (p = 0.042, Table 4). Logistic regression analysis determined a higher T1-PMR in patients presenting with DWI-positive complications (odds ratio 49.9, 95% CI: 2.1–1175.1, p = 0.015, data not shown). These findings suggest that type VaI plaques may be a risk factor for increased complications. In addition, to investigate whether aortic atheromatous plaques contributed to embolic complications, we analyzed the findings using 3D-CT angiography. Four out of seven DWI-positive cases and 13 out of 29 DWI-negative cases had aortic atheromatous plaques. We determined the presence of an aortic atheromatous plaque did not significantly contribute to embolic complications as determined by Fisher’s exact test (p = 0.684).

3.5. Post-CEA positive diffusion-weighted images (DWI)

All CEA cases were subjected to MRI both pre- and postoperatively. Five out of the 71 CEA cases had fresh DWI-positive findings preoperatively. There were two additional DWI-positive cases noted after CEA. One case of acute stroke showed an enlarged infarcted area and the other showed a small asymptomatic infarction.

4. Discussion

In this study we demonstrate that the T1- and T2-PMR index panels aid in the histopathological identification of carotid plaques and the risk of embolism in patients scheduled for CAS. Our findings therefore confirm the modified histological classification of atherosclerotic lesions promulgated in 1995 by the American Heart Association [23] is of histopathological and clinical value.

There is an increasing evidence that the morbidity resulting from CAS is related to thrombosis, ulceration, thinned fibrous caps, and intra-plaque hemorrhage [4,8,24,25]. Therefore, it is important to identify patients with high-risk plaques when considering the indications for CAS. MR plaque imaging has been considered to be useful for evaluating the characteristics and vulnerability of plaques [14,18,26–30]. As described by previous studies the imaging methods are divided into three main groups, including “multi-contrast imaging”, “single-contrast imaging”, and “enhanced-contrast imaging”. Multi-contrast imaging, which commonly uses four sequences (such as T1-, T2-, Proton density-WI and TOF), has the possibility of elucidating the nature of the plaque by the combination of the respective signal patterns [11,12,16–19,26,31,32]. Indeed, Cai et al. reported that plaques on high-resolution multicontrast MRI scans correlated with the histological findings [11]. Plaques with a lipid ridge were hyperintense, calcified plaques were hypointense, and fibrous plaques were isointense on T1WI [22]. In our study, the MR signal of fibrous tissue and calcification were also closely correlated with the histological findings. In contrast, the MR signal of intraplaque hemorrhage was variable, possibly reflecting the integrity of the red blood cells and hemoglobin status in the region [26]. Yuan et al. reported that these factors strongly affected tissue T2 relaxation.
times but have a smaller impact on the T1WI [17]. A single-contrast image is composed of one sequence and is specific in detecting one phenomenon, while most imaging techniques are modified sequences [13,28,29,33]. Moody and colleagues developed an MR direct thrombus imaging (DTI) technique, with high sensitivity and specificity (84%), which is useful in detecting complicated plaques (American Heart Association (AHA) type VI) [28]. The contrast-enhanced imaging technique is useful to distinguish the fibrous cap and necrotic core accurately [12,34], as well as to detect the intraplaque inflammatory region using targeted molecular and cellular contrast agents [35–38], Tang et al. evaluated the effect of atorvastatin on carotid plaque inflammation as determined by ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide (USPIO). They reported a significant correlation between aggressive lipid-lowering therapy and a reduction in USPIO-defined inflammation [36].

The quantitative evaluation of plaques using the PMR is suitable as a way to standardize plaque SI on all images. Several studies have used the same method as we did in our present study [22,24,25]. Kashiwagi et al. analyzed the relationship between embolic complications during CAS with MR plaque images and found that patients with a T1-PMR of more than 1.5 were at a significantly higher risk of embolism [25]. Another study by Ishibashi et al. used a different MRI protocol that applied magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition with gradient echo (MPRAGE). They categorized plaques with a T1-PMR of more than 2.0 as high-intensity plaques [24]. In their study, the incidence of embolic complications during CAS was significantly higher in patients with high-intensity plaques compared with iso-intensity plaques (21.1% vs. 0%). Finally, Yoshida et al. reported a correlation between the PMR with CAS. When the PMR cutoff value was set at 1.25, it was 79.4% sensitive and 84.4% specific for the diagnosis of soft plaques [22]. These studies are in agreement with our cutoff value findings of 1.18.

We introduced a modified classification of type Va lesions into type Va1 and Va2 lesions based on their histopathology. We used the resulting index panels based on their T1- and T2-PMR, where panels A, B, C, and D correspond to type Va1, VI, Va2, and Vb carotid lesions, respectively. We validated our index panels in 35 patients (36 lesions) who underwent CAS and determined DWI-positive complications most likely to occur from Panel D to A by binomial logistic regression analysis (Table 4). Therefore, a higher T1-PMR is expected to be present in patients presenting with DWI-positive complications. From our findings we recommend that subjects in panels A and B should undergo CAS with robust antplatelet and/or anticoagulant therapy. More specific MR imaging modalities need to be developed to better predict the risk of embolism.

4.1. Limitations of this study

Limitations of our study include a small study population, which reduced the power of our statistical analysis and possibly caused a type II error. Therefore, we should not draw conclusions about further treatment guidelines at the present time. Further studies are required for further validation of the T1- and T2-PMR index panels.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our histopathological findings indicate the modified classification of type Va1 and Va2 plaques may be of clinical importance. Although the variety of imaging protocols and MRI scanners used for MR plaque imaging may result in different cut-off values, a quantitative evaluation using the T1- and T2-PMR index panels may better identify the histopathological nature of carotid plaques and assist in assessing the risk of embolism in patients being considered for treatment by CAS.
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